



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE 08/06/2003		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 8411
10/635,135			Miksa Marton	04004.007	
75	90	02/24/2005	EXAMINER		
Fildes & Outla	ınd, P.C.		SHAKERI, HADI		
Suite 2 20916 Mack Av	enue			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Grosse Pointe V	Voods, MI	48236	3723		

DATE MAILED: 02/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)					
	050 - 4 - 4 - 0	10/635,1	35	MARTON, MIKSA					
	Office Action Summary	Examine	r .	Art Unit					
		Hadi Sha		3723					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress				
THE i - External after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a representation of the provision of	N. 1.136(a). In no evelph within the stated will apply and wute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be timutory minimum of thirty (30) daysill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	nety filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this or O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on								
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.								
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrug Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from co							
Applicati	on Papers								
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 August 2003</u> is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the I	e: a)⊠ acce ne drawing(s) t ection is requir	ne held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF	FR 1.121(d).				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
Attachment	t(s)								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary						
3) 🔲 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 r No(s)/Mail Date	8)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:)-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/635,135

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Courson et al. in view of Moore (2,796,704).

Courson et al. meets all of the limitations of claim 1, as indicated above including that any suitably sander may be used, col. 4, line 17, except for disclosing an orbital sander. Moore teaches a sander driven by an eccentric (3) providing an orbital movement.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to modify the invention of Courson et al. with an eccentric as taught by Moore to adapt the tool for orbital movement in markedly increasing the efficiency of the operation.

It is also noted that Courson et al. discloses that any suitably means may be used to connect the pad to the mounting member (42), col. 6, lines 5-8, in the alternative, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use other means known in the art and commonly used in the art, e.g., mechanical fasteners to secure the pad to the mounting member, depending on the operational parameters, e.g., cost.

Regarding claim 2, Courson et al. in view of Moore meets the limitations, a plurality of ribs (34) and legs.

Application/Control Number: 10/635,135

Art Unit: 3723

Regarding claim 3, Courson et al. in view of Moore meets the limitations, sanding disk (50) having corresponding holes not shown but inherent.

Conclusion

3. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hadi Shakeri whose telephone number is (571) 272-4495. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/635,135

Art Unit: 3723

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Madi Shakeri

Primary Examiner Art Unit 3723

February 22, 2005